Vibrational Spectroscopy at Very High Pressures. Part 19. The High Pressure and High Temperature Forms of Yellow Mercury(II) Iodide: Two Different Phases

D. M. ADAMS and R. APPLEBY

Department of Chemistry, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, U.K.

NT ENSI TY

TRANSMISSION

ō

100

Received December 9, 1977

The phase diagram of HgI₂, described as "unnatural" by P. W. Bridgman [1] who was the first to investigate it, has long been regarded as anomalous. At ambient temperature and pressure the stable form of HgI₂ is the red layer-structure material $(P4_2/nmc)$, Z = 2) in which mercury is tetrahedrally co-ordinated to four iodines. Above a transition temperature ca. 127 °C (quoted, variously, as 126 to 132 °C) at ambient pressure there is a transition to a yellow form consisting of nearly linear molecules in a lattice isostructural with that of HgBr₂ (ambient pressure form), $Cmc2_1$, Z = 4 [2]. The unexpected feature is that compression of the red form above ca. 10 kbar induces a change to a phase which is seen, under the microscope, also to be yellow and which was shown by Bridgman's compression measurements to be continuous with the yellow form obtained by thermal expansion of the red HgI₂ lattice. We refer to the two yellow preparations as HgI2 II (h.t.) and II (h.p.) respectively (h.t. = high temperature, h.p. = high pressure).

Experimental

The data were obtained using a diamond anvil high pressure cell and associated techniques, previously described [3]. HgI₂ samples were immersed in Nujol and subjected to known pressures, very close to hydrostatic, within molybdenum gaskets initially of thickness 0.2 mm and hole diameter 0.4 mm. The same cell and sample was transferred between the far-i.r. and Raman instruments.

Results and Discussion

The far-i.r. and Raman spectra of HgI_2 II (h.t.) and of II (h.p.) are significantly different from each other (Figure 1) and suggest that the two yellow materials have different structures. The principal differences between the Rama spectra are: (i) there are no 15 and 11.5 cm⁻¹ bands, or any corresponding to them, in II (h.p.); (ii) the doublet below 100 cm⁻¹



Figure 1. (a) Raman spectrum of HgI_2 II (h.t.) at 473 K and ambient pressure. Spectral slit width 0.6 cm⁻¹, 200 mW 647.1 nm radiation at the sample. (b) Raman spectrum of HgI_2 II (h.p.) at 295 K and 23.0 kbar in a diamond anvil cell. Spectral slit width 1 cm⁻¹, 150 mW 647.1 nm radiation at the sample. (c) Far-i.r. spectrum of HgI_2 II (h.t.) at 473 K and ambient pressure. (d) Far-i.r. spectrum of HgI_2 II (h.t.) at 473 K and 31.0 kbar in a diamond anvil cell.

200

cm-1

has the relative intensities of its two components in the two forms; (iii) ν_1 (ca. 145 cm⁻¹) has a pronounced shoulder on the low-frequency side in II (h.

p.) whereas the corresponding band in II (h.t.) is symmetrical. These differences are supported by observation of even more pronounced differences in the far-i.r. spectra: II (h.p.) has a more complex spectrum than II (h.t.) and, in particular, shows intense absorption *ca.* 80 cm⁻¹ which has no counterpart in the other form.

With the recognition that $HgI_2 II$ (h.t.) and HgI_2 II (h.p.) are different phases, and the recent discovery that above 75 kbar there is a further transition [4] to a form with a structure believed [5] to be that of the 8H poly-type of CdI₂, the phase diagram of HgI₂ is now seen to be closely similar to that of HgBr₂ [5], apart from the presence of red HgI₂ in one corner. HgBr₂ shows a series of three phase transitions at successively increasing pressures, the highest phase (IV) having spectra [3] compatible with the CdI₂ structure type, and HgBr₂ III showing vibrational spectra [3] which are similar to those of HgI₂ II (h.p.). Thus, the behaviour of HgI_2 is seen to fit the general trend towards structures of higher coordination number with increase of pressure [5].

Acknowledgement

We thank the S.R.C. for a grant to R.A., and for other support.

References

- 1 P. W. Bridgman, Proc. Amer. Acad. Sci. Arts, 51, 55 (1915).
- 2 G. A. Jeffrey and M. Vlasse, Inorg. Chem., 6, 396 (1967).
- 3 D. M. Adams and R. Appleby, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, 1530 (1977).
- 4 H. Mikler, Monatsh. Chemie, 103, 110 (1973).
- 5 C. W. F. T. Pistorius, Progr. Solid State Chem., 11, 1 (1976).